





B.Q. ZHENG
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
 211: POL SCI 186 LEC 1: RACEÐNICITY&PLTC
 No. of responses = 17
 Enrollment = 21
 Response Rate = 80.95%

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:



1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?









2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1) Instructor Concern – The instructor was concerned about student learning.		<p>n=17 av.=7.88 md=9 dev.=2</p>
2.2) Organization – Class presentations were well prepared and organized.		<p>n=17 av.=8.47 md=9 dev.=1.07</p>
2.3) Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.		<p>n=16 av.=7.81 md=8.5 dev.=1.6</p>
2.4) Communication Skills – The instructor had good communication skills.		<p>n=17 av.=8.06 md=8 dev.=1.34</p>
2.5) Value – You have learned something you consider valuable.		<p>n=17 av.=8.59 md=9 dev.=1.23</p>
2.6) Overall – Your overall rating of the instructor.		<p>n=17 av.=8.41 md=9 dev.=1.18</p>
2.7) Overall – Your overall rating of the course.		<p>n=17 av.=8.65 md=9 dev.=0.7</p>

3. Your View of Course Characteristics:

3.1) Subject interest before course		<p>n=17 av.=2.12 md=2 dev.=0.7</p>
3.2) Subject interest after course		<p>n=17 av.=2.65 md=3 dev.=0.49</p>
3.3) Mastery of course material		<p>n=17 av.=2.41 md=2 dev.=0.51</p>
3.4) Difficulty (relative to other courses)		<p>n=17 av.=1.94 md=2 dev.=0.56</p>

3.5)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow		Too Much	n=17 av.=1.94 md=2 dev.=0.24
3.6)	Texts, required readings	Poor		Excellent	n=17 av.=2.35 md=2 dev.=0.49
3.7)	Homework assignments	Poor		Excellent	n=17 av.=2.47 md=2 dev.=0.51
3.8)	Graded materials, examinations	Poor		Excellent	n=17 av.=2.71 md=3 dev.=0.47
3.9)	Lecture presentations	Poor		Excellent	n=17 av.=2.76 md=3 dev.=0.44
3.10)	Class discussions	Poor		Excellent	n=17 av.=2.47 md=3 dev.=0.62

4. Comments:

- 4.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this instructor and course.
- Good course and good professor I recommend
 - I greatly appreciated how the professor tried to be attentive to students given that it was remote. He always asked if we understood and made sure we were following along. I could tell he cared about the students and he did the best he good given the amount of material and the length of the course. He explained difficult concepts in a easy to understand way. Although I did find the amount of reading to be a little much but it is expected in a summer course. Overall I had a very positive experience with my professor.
 - I thought the course was well taught. The professor really cares about his students and communicated very well.
 - Professor Bang is really an expert in the field, he knows so much and is good at conveying that knowledge in a super understandable way
 - Professor Bang truly cares about this topic and his students. I loved this class and appreciate his dedication to the topic.
 - Professor is very knowledgeable and wants his students to really do well. He's more concerned with us really grasping the material and concepts more than anything else. And he makes sure we know that no question is stupid; he is always happy to answer or clarify things for us
 - The Professor provided organized and straightforward lectures that helped me truly grasp the material and do well on the midterm.
 - The Professor was excellent and made an effort to engage students in the topic, however many students would not come to class causing the discussions to be between only the professor and a few students.
 - great teacher who puts a lot of effort into class material.

Profile

Subunit: POL SCI
 Name of the instructor: B.Q. ZHENG
 Name of the course: 211: POL SCI 186 LEC 1: RACEÐNICITY&PLTC
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1) Instructor Concern – The instructor was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=17	av.=7.88
2.2) Organization – Class presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=17	av.=8.47
2.3) Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=16	av.=7.81
2.4) Communication Skills – The instructor had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=17	av.=8.06
2.5) Value – You have learned something you consider valuable.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=17	av.=8.59
2.6) Overall – Your overall rating of the instructor.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=17	av.=8.41
2.7) Overall – Your overall rating of the course.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=17	av.=8.65

3. Your View of Course Characteristics:

3.1) Subject interest before course	Low		High	n=17	av.=2.12
3.2) Subject interest after course	Low		High	n=17	av.=2.65
3.3) Mastery of course material	Low		High	n=17	av.=2.41
3.4) Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low		High	n=17	av.=1.94
3.5) Workload/pace was	Too Slow		Too Much	n=17	av.=1.94
3.6) Texts, required readings	Poor		Excellent	n=17	av.=2.35
3.7) Homework assignments	Poor		Excellent	n=17	av.=2.47
3.8) Graded materials, examinations	Poor		Excellent	n=17	av.=2.71
3.9) Lecture presentations	Poor		Excellent	n=17	av.=2.76
3.10) Class discussions	Poor		Excellent	n=17	av.=2.47