		D.Q. 2112100, 101. 01/110		
UCLA EIP UCLA EIP Evaluation of Ir 191: STATS 101A No	Q. ZHENG Instruction Progra DIS 1A: INT DATA AN/ of responses = 8 Enrollment = 34 Disse Rate = 23.53%			
, tesp	5113C Rate = 20.00 /0			
1. Background Information:				
^{1.1)} Veer in Cabaali				
^{1.1)} Year in School:				
	Freshman		0	n=8
	Sophomore		1	
	Junior		2	
	Senior		5	
	Graduate		0	
	Other		0	
^{1.2)} UCLA GPA:				
	Below 2.0		0	n=8
	2.0 - 2.49		1	
	2.5 - 2.99		0	
	3.0 - 3.49		3	
	3.5+		4	
No	t Established		0	
^{1.3)} Expected Grade:				
	Α		6	n=7
	В		1	
	С		0	
	D		0	
	F		0	
	P		0	
	NP		0	
	?		0	
^{1.4)} What requirements does this course fulfill?				
	Major (6	n=7
	Related Field		0	
	G.E.		0	
	None		1	

2	. To What Extent Do You Feel That:												
2.1)	Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never	0	0	1	0	0		1	2		Very High or _Always	n=8 av.=7.75 md=8.5 dev.=2.05
2.2)	Teaching Assistant Concern – The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	5	Very High or ₄ Always	n=8 av.=8.25 md=9 dev.=1.39
2.3)	Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	4	Very High or Always	n=8 av.=7.63 md=8 dev.=1.77
2.4)	Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never	0	0	0	1	0	1 	0	2	4	Very High or Always	n=8 av.=7.75 md=8.5 dev.=1.83
2.5)	Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never	0	0	0	1	0	0 ⊢ 6	1	8		Very High or Always	n=8 av.=8 md=9 dev.=1.77
2.6)	Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never	0	0	0	1	0	0 	1	3		Very High or Always	n=8 av.=7.75 md=8 dev.=1.67
2.7)	Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	3	Very High or Always	n=7 av.=7.57 md=8 dev.=2.15
2.8)	Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	3	Very High or Always	n=8 av.=7.75 md=8 dev.=1.98
3	. Your View of Section Characteristics:												
3.1)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low		2	<u> </u>		2		<u>+</u>	1		High	n=8 av.=1.88 md=2 dev.=0.64
3.2)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow		0			8			0		Too Much	n=8 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0
3.3)	Integration of section with course was	Poor		0			4			4		Excellent	n=8 av.=2.5 md=2.5 dev.=0.53
3.4)	Texts, required readings	Poor		0			4			3 – 3	_	Excellent	n=7 av.=2.43 md=2 dev.=0.53 ab.=1

^{3.5)} Homework assignments	Poor	0	2 	5 3	Excellent	n=7 av.=2.71 md=3 dev.=0.49 ab.=1
^{3.6)} Graded materials, examinations	Poor	0	2 2		Excellent	n=8 av.=2.75 md=3 dev.=0.46
^{3.7)} Lecture presentations	Poor	0	3 	5 3	Excellent	n=8 av.=2.63 md=3 dev.=0.52
^{3.8)} Class discussions	Poor	0	3 2	4	Excellent	n=7 av.=2.57 md=3 dev.=0.53 ab.=1
4. Comments:						

- ^{4.1)} Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.
- Bang was very helpful, and knowledgeable.
- Good TA
- Sections were interactive and the TA was willing to clarify concepts.
- good
- has trouble giving straight answers to questions, either because he doesn't know the material or doesn't want to give a straight answer
- very nice TA!

Profile

Subunit:

STATS B.Q. ZHENG

Name of the instructor: Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

191: STATS 101A DIS 1A: INT DATA ANALY REGR

.

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

- 2.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.
- ^{2.2)} Teaching Assistant Concern The T.A. was concerned about student learning.
- 2.3) Organization Section presentations were well prepared and organized.
- 2.4) Scope The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.
- $^{2.5)}$ Interaction $\hat{a} {\mbox{e}}^{\ast}$ Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.
- 2.6) Communication Skills The teaching assistant had good communication skills.
- 2.7) Value The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.
- 2.8) Overall What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low	•	High	n=8	av.=1.88
3.2)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow		Too Much	n=8	av.=2.00
3.3)	Integration of section with course was	Poor _		Excellent	n=8	av.=2.50
3.4)	Texts, required readings	Poor _		Excellent	n=7	av.=2.43
3.5)	Homework assignments	Poor _		Excellent	n=7	av.=2.71
3.6)	Graded materials, examinations	Poor _		Excellent	n=8	av.=2.75
3.7)	Lecture presentations	Poor _	<u> </u>	Excellent	n=8	av.=2.63
3.8)	Class discussions	Poor _	<u>i</u>	Excellent	n=7	av.=2.57

Very Low or Never	┝╺	Very High or Always	n=8	av.=7.75
Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=8	av.=8.25
Very Low or Never	┝┫	Very High or Always	n=8	av.=7.63
Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=8	av.=7.75
Very Low or Never	<u>├</u>	Very High or Always	n=8	av.=8.00
Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=8	av.=7.75
Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=7	av.=7.57
Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=8	av.=7.75